ECCLESIA LAW

THE MACROECONOMIC AND JURISDICTIONAL ARCHITECTURE OF THE MODERN USUFRUCT DEBT-BASED SYSTEM

7th June 2026

The 1933 Bankruptcy, HJR 192, and the Usufruct Credit Paradigm

The contemporary global financial architecture is structurally predicated on the formal bankruptcy and subsequent administrative reorganization of the United States Federal Government, initiated during the Great Depression by the Emergency Banking Act of March 9, 1933.[1] This declared state of national emergency catalysed a fundamental restructuring of the domestic monetary and legal framework, culminating in the passage of House Joint Resolution 192 (HJR 192) on June 5, 1933.[1] HJR 192 fundamentally altered commercial obligations by abrogating the "gold clause" in all public and private contracts, declaring it contrary to public policy to require payment in any specific kind of coin or currency.[1] This legislative pivot effectively removed physical gold and silver—the traditional common law "good and valuable consideration" required to permanently extinguish debt—from private circulation.[1]

The legal scope and limits of this abrogation were extensively litigated in the landmark Supreme Court decision *Perry v. United States*.[2] The Court, in an opinion delivered by Chief Justice Charles Evans Hughes, held that while Congress possessed the constitutional authority to regulate the value of money and control private contracts that interfered with its monetary policy, it did not possess the authority to repudiate the substance of its own contractual engagements when borrowing money on the credit of the United States.[2] The Court declared that the Joint Resolution of June 5, 1933, was unconstitutional insofar as it undertook to nullify gold clauses in outstanding government bonds, directly violating Section 4 of the Fourteenth Amendment, which protects the validity of the public debt from being questioned.[2]

However, because the domestic gold market was lawfully restricted and foreign exportation was prohibited save under license, the Court ruled that the bondholder could not demonstrate actual economic damages beyond the face value of the bond. Consequently, the government was permitted to discharge its obligations dollar-for-dollar in any currency circulating as legal tender.[2]

This transition from a system of "payment" (which requires the exchange of tangible, commodity-backed assets of intrinsic value) to a system of "discharge" (where outstanding obligations are balanced dollar-for-dollar using debt instruments) established a closed-loop "money of account" system.[1] Under this regime, Federal Reserve Notes circulate as debt obligations of the United States Treasury, rather than as substantive money.[1]

By absorbing the physical assets of the private sector and removing the substance required to pay debts, the state established a usufruct relationship with the populace.[1] In civil law and Roman jurisprudence, a usufruct is defined as a subordinate real right (*ius in re aliena*) that grants the usufructuary the right to use

(*usus*) and enjoy the fruits or profits (*fructus*) of property owned by another (the naked owner or *nudus dominus*), subject to the strict obligation to preserve its substance (*salva rerum substantia*) and return it in substantially the same condition.[4] In perfect usufruct, the property is used without changing its nature; in imperfect usufruct (*quasi-usufruct*), the property consists of consumable goods (such as money) which cannot be used without being consumed, obligating the usufructuary to return equivalent value or quantity at the end of the term.[4]

Under the post-1933 commercial paradigm, the biological individual is positioned as a usufructuary.[1] The state, acting as the naked owner, retains the radical legal title to all registered property and assets, while borrowing the productive capacity, future labour, and "credit energy" of the living populace to serve as the ultimate collateral for the national debt.[1]

Jurisdictional Era	Monetary Standard	Governing Legal Framework	Status of the Individual	Ownership Nature
Pre-1913	Gold and Silver Coin [1]	Common Law Republic [1]	Sovereign National [1]	Absolute Legal Title [1]
1913–1933	Federal Reserve Notes (Partial Backing) [1]	Statutory Regulation [1]	Transitioning to Credit-Based Surety [1]	Transitioning Title [1]
Post-1933	Money of Account (Debt/Credit) [1]	Commercial/Maritime Law / UCC [1]	Agent for Corporate Debtor Construct [1]	Equitable Title (Renter/User Status) [1]
1974–Present	SDR / Pure Fiat System [1]	Administrative Trust Law [1]	Fiduciary / *Executor de son tort* [1]	Equitable Title (Usufructuary Interest) [1]

The Ontology of Legal Personality and the Agency Nexus

The modern legal edifice is built upon a bifurcation between biological reality and juridical construction.[1] This division constitutes the ontological bedrock of civil governance and global commerce.[1] At the centre of this system is the distinction between the "natural person"—the living human capable of sensory experience and moral agency—and the "traditional artificial person," a legal fiction or construct created by statute to serve as a vessel for rights, duties, and liabilities.[1]

The Interpretation Act 1978 embeds this division in statute, defining "person" to include a body of persons corporate or unincorporate, thereby establishing that in the eyes of the law, a "person" is a container for rights and duties, not a synonym for a human being.[1] The separation between the creator or controller of an entity and the entity itself is further rooted in the corporate law doctrine of separate legal personality established in *Salomon v. A. Salomon & Co. Ltd.*.[1] While originally designed as a "Corporate Veil" to protect natural persons from corporate liabilities, this principle has been inverted in the context of the birth certificate construct.[1] The system utilizes the separation to create a liability-bearing entity (the Artificial Person) and then, through the law of agency, attaches those liabilities to the living man.[1]

The "birth certificate construct" is functionally defined as a body corporate, a statutory trust, or a decedent estate.[1] Upon birth registration, the state creates an artificial entity—a legal personality—distinct from the child whose birth occasioned its creation.[1] In the absence of a competent living claimant, the administrative system treats this registered entity as an "abandoned" or "decedent" estate.[1] The "Agency Trap" closes when a living individual identifies as the artificial person, allowing the state to bypass the corporate veil and access the individual's energy and labour directly.[1]

Under the Law of Agency, three core elements are required to establish an agency relationship: consent, authority, and capacity.[1] In the administrative state, the agency relationship is established by default presumptions.[1] When a person answers to the name on the birth certificate in court, or presents a driver's license (which is the property of the artificial person) to an enforcement officer, they are deemed to have consented to act as the agent and surety for the artificial entity's debts.[1]

This mechanism is reinforced by Section 25 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882 regarding signatures "by procuration" (*per procurationem* or *p.p.*).[1] A signature by procuration operates as notice that the agent has but a limited authority to sign, and the principal is only bound if the agent in so signing was acting within the actual limits of his authority.[1] In commercial instruments, signing "p.p." or as an "Authorized Representative" protects the agent from personal liability.[1] However, under the default usufruct system, the vast majority of individuals sign documents (tax returns, traffic tickets, loan applications) without any such qualification.[1] In doing so, they fail to invoke the protection of procuration, signing as though they are the corporate entity itself or as an unlimited surety for it, thereby springing the "Agency Trap".[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Legal Persona	Natural Person (Living Soul)	Artificial Person (Birth Certificate Construct)	Agent / Surety (Default Public Status)
Legal Basis	Common Law / Biological Reality [1]	Interpretation Act 1978; Salomon Principle [1]	Law of Agency; Presumption of Identity [1]
Functional Domain	The Material World; Source of Credit Energy [1]	The "Monopoly Board" of Commerce [1]	Liability Transfer & Administrative Enforcement [1]
Nature of Standing	Living, breathing agent with moral agency [1]	Statutory corporate fiction; decedent estate [1]	Biological man acting as the "battery" for the fiction [1]
Executor Status	Ultimate Source of Fiduciary Office [1]	The Estate Subject to Administration [1]	*Executor de son tort* due to unauthorized intermeddling [1]

Fiduciary Administration and the Doctrine of Executor de son tort

The interaction between the human being and the registered legal identity is mediated by agency.[1] In probate law, an executor or administrator is the paradigmatic example of a natural person acting on behalf of a juridical estate.[1] The estate is treated as a separate legal entity with its own rights and liabilities during administration, and the executor's tasks are carried out in a representative capacity.[1] The executor is not ordinarily personally liable for the debts of the estate, provided they act properly and within authority.[1]

However, where a person intermeddles with an estate without lawful authority, they become an *executor de son tort*.[1] The literal meaning of this term is that the person is “an executor of his own wrong”.[7] Under English, American, and Commonwealth laws, the common law doctrine of *executor de son tort* imposes executor-level liability on a person who intermeddled with estate property without legal authority.[7] The doctrine is not punitive in intent and does not require knowledge that the conduct was improper; it applies whenever a person exercises dominion and control over estate assets as though they had been appointed executor or administrator, but no such appointment was valid at the time.[7]

Intermeddling occurs when a person disposes of the deceased's personal possessions, manages their business, pays debts or liabilities using estate money, or receives, obtains, or holds any part of the estate.[7] If an estate is insolvent, the personal representative must prioritize creditors before beneficiaries; paying the wrong debts, or doing so out of order, results in personal liability and direct claims from creditors and beneficiaries.[7] Once intermeddling occurs, the person involved cannot later refuse to act, and can be compelled to provide a full accounting, producing records of all assets received and expenditures made.[7]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

In the context of the registered birth persona, the legal person is treated by the administrative state as a "decedent estate".[1] This perspective aligns with the Cestui Que Vie Act 1666, which provided that persons "lost beyond the seas" for seven years could be declared legally dead, and their estates administered by the state.[1] The birth certificate construct is treated as the estate of a person who has never "come back from the sea" to claim their rights.[1]

If the living individual uses the estate's assets (such as a driver's license, social security number, or bank accounts) without formally asserting a specific legal "Office" (such as General Executor), they are viewed as an *executor de son tort*.[1] By "using" the name (intermeddling) without asserting office, the individual accepts full personal liability for the estate's debts and taxes, and loses the limited liability protections of the estate.[1]

The state, acting as the administrator of necessity, offloads the liability to the living man.[1] The Crown or State effectively says: "Since you are acting like the owner of this Name (intermeddling), you must pay its debts," turning the living man into the surety for the state's creation.[1]

Dimension	**The Intermeddling Path (Default Status)**	**The Representative Path (Corrected Fiduciary Status)**
Legal Standing	*Executor de son tort* / Intermeddler [1]	General Executor / Fiduciary Representative [1]
Capacity	Personal Surety for the Estate's Debts [1]	Director of the Estate; Separated Capacity [1]
Conduct	Unqualified use of estate assets (intermeddling) [1]	Qualified administration under explicit fiduciary office [1]
Liability	Personal liability for all taxes, fines, and debts [1]	Fiduciary immunity; liabilities remain with the estate [1]
State Role	State administers the estate and passes liability to surety [1]	State is bound by the directions of the General Executor [1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Ex Nihilo Credit Creation and the Mechanics of Signature Monetization

The contemporary commercial banking system does not function under the classical fractional reserve model, where financial institutions act as traditional intermediaries lending out pre-existing customer deposits.[1] Empirical studies conducted by monetary economists, most notably those conducted by Professor Richard Werner, and officially validated by publications from the Bank of England, confirm that private commercial banks create new book money *ex nihilo* (out of nothing) at the exact moment of lending.[1] This process of currency creation relies entirely on the monetization of the borrower's signature.[1]

When an individual signs a loan agreement, promissory note, or mortgage contract, they are not borrowing the bank's pre-existing money; rather, their biological signature acts as the primary monetization event and the true originating force of the credit.[1] The commercial bank records the signed negotiable instrument as a bank asset, balancing the transaction by expanding its balance sheet through a newly created deposit liability.[1] The Werner equation for credit creation represents this balance-sheet expansion as:

$$\Delta\text{Bank Assets (Loan)} = \Delta\text{Bank Liabilities (Deposit)}$$

Because the bank does not advance its own capital or cash reserves, the borrower is, in a technical and fiduciary sense, the actual "funder" of their own loan.[1] The commercial bank merely assumes a "nominee" or withholding agent posture.[1]

The value generated by this signature is accounted for through the technical mechanism of Original Issue Discount (OID).[1] In standard financial reporting, OID is defined as the excess of a debt instrument's stated redemption price at maturity (SRP) over its initial issue price (IP)[1]:

$$OID = SRP - IP$$

Because the credit is birthed *ex nihilo* at the exact moment of signing, no prior cash consideration was advanced by the bank, establishing the initial issue price mathematically as zero ($IP = 0$).[1] Therefore, the OID is equivalent to the entire face value (FV) of the instrument:

$$OID = FV - IP$$

where $IP = 0$ and therefore $OID = FV$.

The legal standing of these signed promissory notes and loan agreements is governed by the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, which provides the statutory foundation for the negotiability of credit instruments.[1] Section 3 of the Act defines a bill of exchange as an unconditional order in writing, addressed by one person to another, signed by the person giving it, requiring the payer to pay a sum certain on demand or at a fixed

future time.[1] Under Section 20, a simple signature on paper delivered for the purpose of being converted into a bill operates as *prima facie* authority to fill it up as a complete bill for any amount.[1]

Crucially, Section 25 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882 establishes the doctrine of signature "by procuration" (*per procurationem* or *p.p.*).[1] A signature by procuration operates as notice that the agent has but a limited authority to sign, and the principal is only bound if the agent in so signing was acting within the actual limits of his authority.[1] In commercial instruments, signing "p.p." or as an "Authorized Representative" protects the agent from personal liability.[1]

However, under the default usufruct system, the vast majority of individuals sign documents (tax returns, traffic tickets, loan applications) without any such qualification.[1] In doing so, they fail to invoke the protection of procuration, signing as though they are the corporate entity itself or as an unlimited surety for it, thereby springing the "Agency Trap".[1]

Monetary Tier	Type of Money	Scarcity / Liquidity Level	Primary Issuing Entity
Tier 1 (Apex)	Central Bank Reserves / Gold [1]	High Scarcity / Ultimate Liquidity [1]	Federal Reserve and Central Banks [1]
Tier 2	Commercial Bank Deposits [1]	Moderate Scarcity / High Liquidity [1]	Systemic Commercial Banks [1]
Tier 3	Shadow Credit / Money Market Funds [1]	Low Scarcity / High Abundance [1]	Hedge Funds, Special Purpose Vehicles, DTCC [1]
Tier 4 (Base)	Signature Credit Energy [1]	Primary Source / Ultimate Originator [1]	Biological Entities (Living Souls) [1]

Ownership Myths: Taxonomy of Title, Fee Simple, and Taxes as Rent

In the public commercial system, registration does not equate to absolute, unencumbered ownership.[1] Instead, the system operates on a division of title that reflects the underlying bankruptcy of the state and the subsequent mortgage of all physical assets.[1] This division separates ownership into Legal Title, Equitable Title, and the historical concept of Alodial Title.[1]

Legal Title refers to the formal ownership of property as recognized by public registries and the sovereign authority.[1] In the state of perpetual bankruptcy, the State holds the radical or legal title to all property as

security for the national debt.[1] The State operates as the ultimate creditor and owner of the commercial "monopoly board".[1]

Equitable Title involves the right to the possession, use, and beneficial "fruits" of the property, separate from legal ownership.[1] In a standard real estate transaction, the artificial person (the "strawman") holds equitable title, while the state retains the legal title.[1] This explains why the state maintains the power to seize "private" property for non-payment of taxes; the state is merely reclaiming the assets of its own creation (the artificial person) for which the living man accepted liability as surety.[1]

Most property ownership in common law jurisdictions is "fee simple," which represents the most extensive form of interest in land recognized under domestic law.[1] However, fee simple title is not absolute; it remains subordinate to the State Sovereign and is limited by four inherent powers [1]:

1. **Taxation:** The power of the state to levy taxes on the property.[1]
2. **Eminent Domain:** The power to take private property for public use with just compensation.[1]
3. **Police Power:** The power to regulate property use for the public health, safety, and welfare.[1]
4. **Escheat:** The power of the state to take ownership if the owner dies without heirs.[1]

In contrast, Alodial (or Elodial) Title constitutes ownership of real property that is independent of any superior landlord.[1] Derived from the Old Low Franconian *allōd* (meaning "full property"), alodial land is absolute and not subject to any rent, service, or acknowledgment to a superior.[1] Theoretically, alodial title is free from the government's powers of taxation and eminent domain.[1]

In the modern legal landscape, true alodial land is virtually non-existent for individuals, as almost all land remains subject to state authority and taxation.[1] Historically, Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS 361.900) once allowed homeowners to establish alodial title to unencumbered single-family dwellings, which would then be exempt from property taxes and seizure for debt. However, the Nevada legislature prohibited new applications for alodial title after June 13, 2005.[1]

Under the usufruct debt-based system, because the biological individual acts as the surety for the bankrupt corporate debtor construct, and the state holds the radical legal title to all property, the living man effectively "rents" the artificial person and its assets from the state.[1] Within this paradigm, income taxes, council taxes, and property taxes are structurally characterized as administrative "rent" payments made to the bankruptcy trustee (the State) for the privilege of utilizing the state's collateralized assets on the commercial ledger.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Title Type	Superior Authority	Limitations	Seizure Risk	Recognition
Alodial Title	None; Absolute Control [1]	Historically none; modernly limited to government reserved [1]	Exempt from most seizures and taxes [1]	Extremely rare; primarily government-held [1]
Fee Simple	The State Sovereign [1]	Taxation, Eminent Domain, Police Power, Escheat [1]	Subject to tax foreclosure and state seizure [1]	Universal standard in common law jurisdictions [1]
Equitable Title	Legal Title Holder [1]	Right of use and beneficial enjoyment only [1]	Vulnerable to legal title claims and foreclosure [1]	Basis of trusts, mortgages, and usufruct [1]
Legal Title	The State (Bankruptcy) [1]	Formal registry ownership subject to public debt [1]	Utilized as national debt security [1]	Standard public registry system [1]

Securitization and the DTCC / Cede & Company Nominee Architecture

The integration of individual debt obligations into the global financial clearing system relies on a sophisticated nominee architecture designed to facilitate rapid, computerized book-entry transfers.[1] Systemic investment banks, broker-dealers, and clearinghouses capture and securitize these obligations, holding them in institutional omnibus accounts under street names.[1] The central securities depository for this infrastructure is the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC), operating through its primary subsidiary, the Depository Trust Company (DTC).[1]

Cede & Company acts as the exclusive partnership nominee for the DTC, holding legal title to the vast majority of publicly traded equities, corporate bonds, municipal debt, and securitized mortgage-backed securities (MBS) in the United States.[1] Under this book-entry framework, legal title is fundamentally split from beneficial ownership.[1] Transactions are completed digitally via automated computerized ledger book-entries.[1] The ultimate investor, or the original credit creator whose signature birthed the note, is relegated to the status of a "beneficial owner," holding only contractual rights on the bank's private books.[1]

Systemic investment banks employ this "street name" architecture specifically to achieve three vital strategic and operational objectives:

ECCLESIA LAW

1. **Administrative Consolidation:** Pooling millions of distinct obligations into massive CUSIP-assigned tranches streamlines the complexities of trading, clearance, and settlement, allowing trillions of dollars in transactions to clear digitally via computerized ledger entries.[1]
2. **Capital Expansion:** Under Section 14(a) of the Federal Reserve Act, member banks are authorized to hypothecate and re-hypothecate these obligations.[1] In the institutional prime brokerage sector, re-hypothecation serves as a key liquidity-generating tool, allowing banks to perpetually leverage customer assets as collateral for their own credit expansion.[1]
3. **Nominee Withholding Compliance:** Under the nominee reporting mandates of IRS Publication 1212, because these financial nominees hold legal title to OID instruments for the benefit of another, they are statutorily required to report OID interest and remit backup withholding.[1]

To satisfy this federal mandate without unmasking individual originators, investment banks pool the liabilities of their portfolios, calculate the aggregate backup withholding, and remit these physical cash collections under their own corporate Employer Identification Numbers (EINs) via their Form 945 withholding modules.[1]

Operational Layer	Entity Name	Functional Role in Securitization	Jurisdictional Standing
Central Depository	Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC) [1]	Parent clearinghouse; coordinates electronic book-entry settlement [1]	New York Banking Law; Federal Reserve Member [1]
Partnership Nominee	Cede & Company [1]	Holds "Legal Title" to the vast majority of securitized assets [1]	DTC exclusive partnership nominee [1]
Intermediate Broker	DTC Participant Banks / Sponsor Institutions [1]	Registered on DTC books; acts as withholding agents [1]	Regulated financial intermediaries / prime brokers [1]
Ultimate Creator	Biological Human / Credit Originator [1]	Originates credit energy via biological signature [1]	Relegated to "Beneficial Owner" with contractual rights [1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Geopolitical Implications of HJR 192: Reserve Currency Dynamics, Sanctions, and Global Institutions

The formal reorganization of the United States monetary standard in 1933 has profound global implications due to the status of the United States Dollar (USD) as the world's primary reserve currency.[1] Following the post-World War II Bretton Woods agreement in 1944, the global economic order was structured around the USD as the central anchor of the system, pegged to gold at $35 per ounce, with all other currencies pegged to the dollar.[10]

However, this system possessed a serious structural flaw exposed by economist Robert Triffin, known as the Triffin Dilemma.[11] Triffin argued that to supply the expanding global economy with sufficient liquidity for international trade, the United States was forced to run persistent balance-of-payments current account deficits, exporting more dollars than it received.[10]

This continuous outflow created a "dollar glut" abroad, causing the volume of foreign official claims on the United States to far surpass the U.S. gold stock, thereby eroding long-term confidence in the dollar's convertibility to gold.[10] If the United States ceased running these deficits, the resulting shortage of global liquidity would trigger worldwide deflationary pressures and restrict global economic expansion.[11]

This inherent instability culminated in the "Nixon Shock" of August 1971, when President Richard Nixon officially suspended the gold window, ending the convertibility of USD to gold and transitioning the global monetary system into a pure fiat currency regime.[12]

Despite the collapse of Bretton Woods, the USD remains the dominant international currency.[11] According to the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) Triennial Central Bank Survey, the USD was employed in 89% of all foreign exchange transactions in 2025, and dollar-denominated securities make up approximately 57% of global foreign exchange reserves.[13]

This outsized role is maintained through the "Offshore USD System" (or Eurodollar market), where banks and shadow financial institutions outside the United States create and settle USD-denominated credit, amounting to approximately $14 trillion.[14] To manage severe financial stress, the Federal Reserve extends swap lines to foreign central banks, providing them with critical dollar liquidity and cementing the U.S. as the de facto banker to the world.[15]

This systemic integration of the USD into the global clearing pipeline grants the United States Department of the Treasury, acting as the global bankruptcy trustee, extraordinary jurisdictional reach and fiduciary control over the global commercial trust.[1] The Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) administers and enforces economic and trade sanctions against targeted foreign jurisdictions, regimes, and individuals.[16]

Promulgated primarily under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA) and the Trading with the Enemy Act (TWEA), OFAC has the authority to block the removal of foreign assets under U.S. jurisdiction, freeze property and interests in property of "blocked persons," and bar targeted entities from accessing the U.S. financial system.[16]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

Furthermore, the Treasury utilizes "secondary sanctions" to target non-U.S. persons, such as foreign banks, by cutting off their U.S. correspondent accounts if they engage in transaction-specific or status-based dealings with blocked entities, effectively leveraging the offshore dollar clearing system to project sovereign power globally.[16]

This global administrative trust is currently undergoing a digital transformation.[1] Central banks are actively exploring Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) and Wholesale CBDCs (wCBDCs) to optimize cross-border settlements and decrease reliance on traditional intermediaries.[1]

Simultaneously, the stablecoin market has expanded under new regulatory frameworks.[1] The Guiding and Establishing National Innovation for U.S. Stablecoins Act (GENIUS Act) of 2025 codified strict 1:1 reserve requirements in high-quality liquid assets, such as U.S. Treasuries, for stablecoin issuers.[1]

Concurrently, the Digital Asset Market Clarity Act (CLARITY Act) of 2026 established exclusive federal jurisdiction over digital commodity spot markets and standard stablecoin regimes, prohibiting passive yield on stablecoin balances while permitting activity-based rewards.[1]

Additionally, under final regulations of IRC § 6045, digital asset brokers are required to report digital transactions on Form 1099-DA, integrating digital clearing rails directly into the forensic audit trail of the global administrative trust.[1]

Nuanced Conclusions

The structural analysis of the global administrative trust, the usufruct credit paradigm, and the mechanics of *ex nihilo* credit creation demonstrates a highly integrated, debt-based financial system.[1] Under this regime, physical assets and human productive capacity are perpetually mortgaged to sustain the national debt, enfranchised through birth registration as separate decedent estates.[1]

While this system splits legal title from equitable title, reducing the individual to holding merely contractual rights under the DTC and Cede & Company book-entry framework, it remains the legally binding structure of international commerce.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice